

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,059	05/15/2007	Lutz Parthier	3834	5603
278 MICHAEL L.S	7590 02/22/201 STRIKER	EXAMINER		
103 EAST NE	CK ROAD		SONG, MATTHEW J	
HUNTINGTO	N, NY 11743		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

striker@strikerlaw.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/590,059	PARTHIER ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
MATTHEW J. SONG	1792			

	MATTHEW J. SONG	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Estimators of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CF8 11 1369. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the meximum statistory period will apply and will expire Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply by specified above, the meximum statistory period will apply and will expire Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply and specified above, the meximum statistory period will apply and will expire Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply days after the mailing date of this communication, even if many filed, may reclose any carried parties from distinguishers. See 37 CF18 TYPE of the second period of the province of the prov						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 O	ctober 2009.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 412)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) X Information Displaceurs Statement(e) (FTO/SB/05)	5) Notice of Informal F	atent Application				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/23/06.

6) Other: __

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-3 in the reply filed on 10/15/2009 is acknowledged.
- Claims 4-5 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/15/2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/590,059

Art Unit: 1792

 Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endo et al (US 2003/0174300).

Endo et al teaches applying a fitting method based on Zernike cylindrical function system to the evaluation of refractive index homogeneity of individual optical members (para [0014]). Endo et al also teaches a measurement step through the optical member so as to measure a wavefront aberration and performing a Zernike fitting (Abstract). Endo et al also teaches an RMS value is calculated for higher-order terms in the Zernike function system where n>35, which clearly suggests subtracting the first 36 Zernike to determine the elements aberration ([0139]).

Endo et al teaches calculating RMS values according to the Zernike function system to determine Zernike fitting (Abstract) and disk shaped optical members were sorted into a plurality of classes according to the magnitude of the RMS value ([0208]). Endo et al does not explicitly teach defining a peak by its amplitude and halfwidth by means of a fit curve, however it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Endo et al by determining the amplitude and halfwidth of the curve to evaluate the properties of the fitted curve taught by Endo et al because amplitude and halfwidth are properties which are conventionally determined in the art to classify curves.

Referring to claim 2, Endo et al teaches the wavefront aberration data may be combined together where by the wavefront aberration of the whole optical member can be obtained and determination of the RMS values for low, idle and high order elements ([0087] and [0139]); therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Endo et al by calculating the ratio.

Art Unit: 1792

Referring to claim 3, Endo et al does not teach CaF₂ crystals. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Endo et al by using CaF₂ crystals which are well known in the art to be used as lens in optical devices for photolithography, which is within scope of the invention taught by Endo et al (See para [0001]-[0003]).

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW J. SONG whose telephone number is (571)272-1468. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Kornakov can be reached on 571-272-1303. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1792

Examiner Art Unit 1792

/Matthew J Song/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792